

The Multidimensional Dream Hypothesis: A Comprehensive Synthesis of Quantum Mechanics, Neuroscience, String Theory, and Transpersonal Psychology

Author: SAMUELSON G

Independent Researcher

Abstract

The phenomenon of dreaming has traditionally been conceptualized as an internal, closed-loop biological process generated by the sleeping brain for memory consolidation and threat simulation. This paper proposes a radical ontological re-evaluation of the dream state, hypothesizing that the human brain functions as a highly tuned quantum transceiver capable of interacting with non-local, multidimensional realities. By synthesizing classical neurobiology and the Predictive Processing framework with the Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) theory, the Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) of quantum mechanics, and the topological geometry of String Theory, we outline a multidisciplinary architecture for multidimensional dreaming. We examine recent theoretical and empirical advancements, including intrinsic neural manifolds, synthetic dimensionality in cortical layers, the Phenomenological Trace model of quantum decoherence, and mathematically rigorous protocols for inter-branch multiverse communication. Ultimately, this paper argues that the altered state of sleep may lift the cognitive constraints of local three-dimensional spacetime, allowing consciousness to interface with higher-dimensional topologies and parallel quantum branches.

Keywords: *Dreaming, Consciousness, Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR), Many-Worlds Interpretation, Calabi-Yau Manifolds, Predictive Processing, Neural Manifolds, Synthetic Dimensionality.*

1. Introduction: The Ontological Status of the Dream State

The phenomenon of dreaming has historically occupied a highly contested liminal space within the biological and physical sciences, situated at the exact intersection of subjective phenomenology and objective neurophysiology. For over a century, the dominant neurobiological paradigm has approached the dream state as an entirely internal, closed-loop biological process. Within this orthodox, materialistic framework, dreaming is conceptualized strictly as a computational artifact of the sleeping brain—a necessary mechanism for memory consolidation, emotional regulation, and synaptic pruning. However, an emerging and profoundly disruptive confluence of quantum physics, theoretical cosmology, cognitive neuroscience, and transpersonal psychology has begun to challenge the strict, local materialism of this classical view. By treating consciousness not merely as a localized byproduct of biological complexity but rather as a fundamental, non-local property of the universe itself, contemporary theoretical frameworks invite a radical re-evaluation of the dream state.¹

The proposition that dreams may serve as empirical portals or access points to alternate dimensions, parallel universes, or higher-dimensional topologies represents a profound paradigm shift in modern epistemology.² This multidimensional hypothesis requires a total departure from interpreting dreams exclusively as the subconscious mind's playground—a localized repository of suppressed wishes or unfulfilled evolutionary desires. Instead, it opens up the ontological possibility that the human psyche acts as an active, non-local explorer of a much broader, perhaps infinite, multidimensional cosmos.² The historical discourse surrounding dream skepticism, extending back to Descartes' epistemological threats and Pyrrhonian skepticism, has long questioned the boundaries between waking reality and the dream state.³ To substantiate the modern claim that dreams interface with actual alternate realities, it is necessary to move far beyond classical Newtonian mechanics. A rigorous inquiry requires the deep integration of the Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) theory of quantum consciousness, the Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) of Everettian quantum mechanics, the topological geometry of String Theory, and the archetypal frameworks of depth psychology.⁴ This report exhaustively examines the hypothesis that the human brain, particularly during the Rapid Eye Movement (REM) and slow-wave sleep (SWS) cycles, functions as a highly tuned quantum transceiver capable of interacting with non-local, multidimensional reality structures.

2. The Classical Neurobiological Architecture of Sleep and Dreaming

To properly evaluate the multidimensional hypothesis, it is first necessary to establish the incredibly complex neuroscientific consensus regarding sleep architecture and dream phenomenology. The human brain exhibits highly distinct physiological, metabolic, and electrical signatures across the continuous sleep-wake cycle. The Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep phase, which is most strongly associated with vivid, visually immersive, and narrative-driven dreaming, is characterized by widespread cortical activation that closely mirrors wakeful electroencephalographic (EEG) patterns.⁸ This state is so neurophysiologically active that it is frequently referred to as "paradoxical sleep."

During REM sleep, the brain's Default Mode Network (DMN)—a network of interacting brain regions known to have highly correlated activity distinct from other networks and uncoupled during deep slow-wave sleep (SWS)—is recoupled.⁹ This recoupling facilitates a spatially unique and temporally dynamic pattern of interregional connectivity that directly reflects the extraordinary quality of the conscious mentation it underlies.⁹ Furthermore, visual imagery in dreams correlates with intense metabolic activity in higher-order occipito-temporal visual association areas, while hyperactivity in the primary motor and premotor cortices, the cerebellum, and the basal ganglia accounts for the frequently reported motor content and kinetic sensations in dreams.¹⁰ Emotional processing during dreaming is facilitated by increased levels of activity in limbic and paralimbic structures, including the amygdaloid complexes, the hippocampal formation, and the anterior cingulate cortex, alongside the pontine tegmentum and thalamus.¹⁰

Recent neuroimaging analyses reveal that spatiotemporal brain dynamics during wakefulness and distinct sleep stages occupy highly separable areas of an "intrinsic manifold." Research mapping fMRI data across the sleep-wake cycle estimates the intrinsic dimensionality of this manifold to be $d = 7$. Classifications performed on this nonlinear intrinsic manifold significantly outperform linear dimensionality reductions like PCA, demonstrating that the brain's sleep states possess a robust, low-dimensional topological structure that organizes massive neuronal complexity into specific states of awareness where different brain states such as distinct sleep stages and wakefulness become highly separable.

However, consciousness during sleep is not strictly confined to the REM phase. Experimental paradigms utilizing serial awakenings have definitively demonstrated that conscious experience is present across the entire sleep-wake continuum, including

non-REM (NREM) stages.⁸ Dreaming during NREM sleep has been suggested to relate to “covert REM” brain activation processes that occur outside of standard polysomnographically scored REM sleep.¹⁰ Mentation during NREM sleep exists on a spectrum. After awakenings from sleep stage N3 early in the night, subject reports tend to be short, thought-like, less vivid, less visual, and more conceptual.¹⁰ These NREM reports are often more plausible, highly concerned with current waking issues, and typically involve greater volitional control compared to the bizarre hallucinations of REM sleep.¹⁰ Conversely, late-night NREM sleep reports are usually significantly longer and more hallucinatory, frequently becoming indistinguishable from actual REM sleep reports.¹⁰ Research into Targeted Memory Reactivation (TMR) has further confirmed that the sleeping brain remains highly sensitive to its environment. By presenting specific sounds, spoken words, or odors during stage 2 NREM and slow-wave sleep, researchers can significantly enhance memory retrieval for associated items upon the subject's awakening, proving that the sleep state is deeply permeable to external information coding.¹¹

2.1. Evolutionary Mechanics: Threat and Social Simulation Theories

Classical interpretations of these highly active sleep phenomena rely heavily on evolutionary and computational frameworks to explain the teleological purpose of dreaming. Two highly prominent models currently dominate this discourse: the Threat Simulation Theory (TST) and the Social Simulation Theory (SST).¹²

Formulated by cognitive neuroscientist Antti Revonsuo, the Threat Simulation Theory posits that dreaming is an ancient, universally shared evolutionary defense mechanism.¹³ According to TST, the biological function of dreaming is to repeatedly simulate threatening events within the virtual reality of the sleeping mind, thereby allowing the organism to rehearse the cognitive and motor sequences required for threat recognition and avoidance in waking life.¹³ This mechanism is heavily reliant on the amygdalocortical network, which evaluates potential danger and primes fear-conditioning systems.¹⁴ Empirical data heavily supports TST. Studies conducted on traumatized populations, such as Kurdish children living in war-torn regions of Northern Iraq, demonstrated a marked overactivation of the threat simulation mechanism.¹⁴ Compared to non-traumatized Finnish children living in relatively threat-free environments, the Kurdish children reported significantly more dreams, and the content of those dreams included dangerous and threatening events far more frequently.¹⁴ Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic provided natural environmental cues of risk that allowed

researchers to experimentally mimic threat bias, further solidifying the theory that real-world threats directly activate survival simulations in dreams.¹⁶

Conversely, the Social Simulation Theory (SST) emphasizes the rehearsal of social bonds over physical threats. SST suggests that dreams reactivate and strengthen vital social connections, simulating social skills, perceptions, and interpersonal dynamics.¹³ Because social rejection in ancestral human groups could be fatal, maintaining social status and group cohesion was absolutely crucial for an individual's survival.¹³ Some researchers argue that TST and SST should be combined into a more generalized "social rehearsal theory" to encompass the full range of embodied simulations in dreams, as TST fails to account for the 25% to 35% of dreams that contain absolutely no physical threats, and SST downplays the prevalence of physical aggression and dreamer-only narratives.¹²

2.2. Predictive Processing and the Epistemology of Internal World Models

In recent years, the evolutionary models of dreaming have been subsumed by a much broader paradigm shift within cognitive neuroscience: the Predictive Processing (PP) framework.¹⁷ This framework fundamentally reconceptualizes the brain not as a passive receiver of sensory inputs, but as an active, Bayesian inference machine that constantly generates top-down predictions to explain bottom-up sensory data.¹⁷ The brain constructs a highly complex internal world model and continuously seeks to minimize the difference between its predictions and actual sensory input—a discrepancy known as prediction error, formally described mathematically by the Free Energy Principle.¹⁸

In the context of the sleep cycle, the brain is largely decoupled from external sensory input. Stripped of exogenous data, the sleeping brain relies entirely on its internal generative models.¹⁹ Sleep and dreaming are therefore conceptualized within PP as states where the brain actively optimizes its internal models by reducing complexity and pruning redundant synaptic connections.¹⁸ This optimization process ensures that the brain's internal model remains highly generalized and adaptable to new, unpredictable waking circumstances, adhering to formal statistical principles of complexity minimization, analogous to Occam's razor.²⁰

Under the classical predictive processing model, the characteristic bizarreness of dreams—marked by incongruities, discontinuities, and explicit indeterminacy—is viewed not as a glitch, but as a feature of optimization, or merely an artifact of altered neuromodulation.²⁰ Physiologically, this bizarreness is attributed to the withdrawal of aminergic modulators (such as norepinephrine and serotonin) secondary to the cessation

of firing in the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe neurons during REM sleep.²¹ This disinhibition of forebrain networks creates a mathematically modeled shift toward increased error at the outputs from neural networks.²¹ Furthermore, sudden bifurcations or "jumps" in the responses of forebrain neuronal networks are caused by the phasic discharge of pontogeniculooccipital (PGO) waves, providing cholinergic modulation that evokes highly unpredictable network responses resulting in cognitive discontinuities.²¹ In this light, bizarre dreams are merely the cognitive concomitant of massive neuronal bifurcations and neural noise.²¹ Recent advancements in spectral slope tracking via EEG have even allowed researchers to isolate the specific electrical signatures of this brain noise, enabling precise differentiation between states of consciousness such as dreaming, deep sleep, and anesthesia.²²

Paradigm	Mechanism of Dream Generation	Epistemological Assumption	Interpretation of Dream Bizarreness
Classical Neuroscience	Top-down predictive processing, aminergic demodulation, PGO waves.	The brain is an isolated, closed computational biological system.	Epiphenomenal noise; random synaptic firing; purposeful cognitive abstraction for model optimization.
Evolutionary Simulation	Activation of the amygdala, paralimbic structures, and fear-conditioning networks.	Dreams are evolutionary virtual reality simulations designed strictly for organism survival.	Recombination of waking memory elements for extreme scenario testing and social rehearsal.

Quantum/Multidimensional	Quantum coherence in microtubules; inter-branch information exchange across parallel spaces.	The brain is a biological transceiver accessing non-local consciousness and physical dimensions.	The leakage of non-local physics; overlapping interference patterns from alternate reality branches.
---------------------------------	--	--	--

While the classical predictive processing and evolutionary simulation models comprehensively map the neural correlates and survival utilities of dreaming, they operate on a strictly local, classical materialist assumption. They assert that the internal generative model is exclusively populated by memory traces from the current physical lifetime, resulting in a strict "composition theory" of dream formation.²³ However, this theory faces profound limitations when confronted with empirical anomalies such as precognitive dreams, the subjective perception of non-local information, shared dreaming, and the distinct phenomenology that lucid dreamers consistently describe as being "more real than waking reality".²³ The multidimensional hypothesis does not discard the mechanics of predictive processing; rather, it radically expands the dataset. It suggests that the generative model of the brain minimizes prediction errors not only by processing local memories but by sampling objective data from a non-local, multi-dimensional phase space.

3. Quantum Biology: The Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) Theory

To establish a coherent physical mechanism by which the sleeping human brain could access alternate dimensions or process non-local data, researchers must transcend classical Newtonian physics and turn to the emerging field of quantum biology. The most comprehensive and fiercely debated framework in this arena is the Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) theory, pioneered in the 1990s by theoretical physicist Sir Roger Penrose and anesthesiologist Stuart Hameroff.⁴ Orch-OR directly challenges the dominant computational assumption of classical neuroscience, which posits that consciousness is merely an emergent epiphenomenon resulting from the sheer complexity

of chemical and electrical computations performed by cerebral neurons.⁴ Instead, Orch-OR posits that consciousness originates fundamentally at the quantum level within the highly structured cytoskeletal scaffolding of neurons.⁴

3.1. Microtubules, Qubits, and the Geometry of Consciousness

The physical substrate for this quantum consciousness lies within microtubules—tiny, cylindrical protein structures that form the cytoskeleton of eukaryotic cells, determining cell shape and regulating intracellular transport.⁴ Within the brain's neurons, the tubulin proteins that comprise these microtubules possess dipole moments.⁴ According to Orch-OR, these oscillating dipoles can exist in a state of quantum superposition, forming superposed resonance rings in helical pathways throughout the lattices of the microtubules.⁴ Consequently, these tubulin dimers act fundamentally as qubits, functioning collectively as an immense biological quantum computer.⁴

The oscillations driving these superpositions are either electric, due to charge separation originating from London dispersion forces, or magnetic, resulting from electron spin and isolated nuclear spins.⁴ These quantum vibrations occur across a vast frequency range, spanning kilohertz, megahertz, and gigahertz domains.⁴ The "Orchestration" component of the theory refers to the hypothetical process by which connective proteins, such as microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs), influence and organize this qubit state reduction by modifying the spacetime separation of their superimposed states.⁴

This mechanism relies heavily on Penrose's objective-collapse interpretation of quantum mechanics, known as the Diósi-Penrose (DP) scheme.⁴ Penrose postulates that quantum superpositions are not merely abstract mathematical probabilities, but actual physical separations in the fine-scale curvature of spacetime geometry.⁴ When the spacetime separation of a superposed state reaches a specific, objective threshold of instability, it must collapse. This gravitational self-energy collapse results in a discrete, irreducible moment of conscious awareness.⁴ Therefore, Orch-OR suggests a direct, physical connection between the brain's biomolecular processes and the basic, fundamental structure of the universe.²⁷

By extension, Orch-OR proposes a massive, multiscalar vibrational hierarchy within the brain, which Hameroff frequently compares to a grand musical orchestra.²⁸ This hierarchy cascades from slow, classical macroscopic frequencies (such as the EEG waves measured during sleep) down to incredibly fast terahertz quantum vibrations at the deepest molecular levels of the microtubules.⁴

The most compelling biological evidence supporting this hierarchy is derived from the mechanics of general anesthesia. For decades, the exact mechanism by which anesthetics selectively erase consciousness while leaving non-conscious brain functions intact has remained a profound medical mystery.²⁸ Hameroff notes that when a patient is "put to sleep" under anesthesia, the brain remains highly active and continues to process external sensory signals (which is why somatosensory evoked potentials can still be monitored during spine surgery), yet the subjective experience of consciousness is entirely annihilated.²⁸ Orch-OR provides an explanation: anesthetic gas molecules specifically bind to hydrophobic pockets within the tubulin proteins.²⁸ By doing so, they dampen the extremely high-frequency terahertz vibrations at the very bottom of the multiscalar hierarchy.²⁸ By inhibiting these foundational quantum oscillations, the entire "cascade" of consciousness is disrupted, effectively "setting the beat" to zero and collapsing the subjective conscious state.²⁸ If anesthesia halts consciousness by arresting microtubular quantum vibrations, it logically follows that the highly altered states of consciousness experienced during dreams—characterized by unique EEG spectral slopes—are driven by shifts in these same underlying quantum geometric processes.²²

3.2. The Decoherence Crisis and the Phenomenological Trace

Despite its profound explanatory power, Orch-OR and all associated quantum mind theories have faced immense, sustained criticism from the mainstream physics community.²⁹ The primary weapon used against quantum consciousness is the "decoherence problem." Physicist Max Tegmark published highly influential calculations arguing that the warm, wet, and noisy thermodynamic environment of the biological brain would cause any delicate quantum state to decohere (collapse due to environmental interaction) in approximately 10^{-13} to 10^{-20} seconds.³¹ Tegmark argued that because this timeframe is millions of times too fast to influence classical neural firing or functional cognitive processes (which operate on timescales of 0.001 to 0.1 seconds), it proves there is nothing fundamentally quantum-mechanical about cognitive processes in the brain.³¹ If Tegmark's mathematical calculations hold absolute authority, rendering the brain a strictly classical system.³¹

However, modern neuro-quantum physics has advanced several highly sophisticated counter-frameworks to solve the decoherence crisis. One of the most compelling is the concept of the "Phenomenological Trace," a neuro-quantum model of consciousness based on the fractal geometry of microtubules, proposed by researcher Arturo Salazar Chon.³⁴ This model requires a radical paradigm shift in how quantum interactions are

measured in biology. It suggests that the brain does not need to sustain fragile quantum coherence over classical timescales to produce consciousness.³⁴ Instead, the brain actively searches for the "echo"—the residual classical energetic trace left behind by the rapid, fleeting quantum collapse.³⁴

Because microtubules possess an intrinsic fractal geometry—exhibiting mathematical self-similarity across multiple biological scales—they provide an architecture perfectly optimized to act as an antenna.³⁴ This fractal antenna catches the rapid quantum echoes (10^{-13} seconds) and transduces them up the multiscalar hierarchy, amplifying the signal until it translates into massive synchronized bursts of gamma waves at the classical neurological level.³⁴ The conscious detection of this quantum "trace" corresponds directly to the psychological experience of profound insight, or the "Aha!" moment.²⁰ Specifically, the empirical signature of this detection is a burst of gamma-band activity at approximately 40 Hz, which acts as a measurable, falsifiable biomarker of the brain processing a neuro-quantum event.

To quantify this mathematically, the framework of Physicobioneurodynamics utilizes the Subjective Coherence-Incoherence Scale (SCIS) alongside a Five-Pillar Model to measure experiential states. A decrease in subjective coherence correlates directly with an increase in measurable network entropy via EEG, representing a state of systemic neural disorganization known as "Isostasis." In this framework, the brain acts as a highly advanced "Quantum Transceiver".³⁵ It is tuned by classical neural activity, but it fundamentally "receives" a moment of experience via the objective reduction of its quantum state.³⁵ If the brain is indeed a transceiver rather than a classical generator, it opens the door to the multidimensional hypothesis: the sleeping brain may tune into signals originating outside of local spacetime.

4. The Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) and Inter-Branch Communication

If the brain functions as a quantum transceiver capable of detecting energetic traces from superposed states, the exact nature of the non-local reality it accesses must be rigorously examined. The Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) of quantum mechanics, originally formulated by Hugh Everett III, provides a mathematical cosmology for this phenomenon. MWI posits that the universal wavefunction never actually collapses upon observation or measurement.³⁶ Instead, every quantum interaction causes the combined observer-object wavefunction to bifurcate into a massive superposition of non-interacting

branches.³⁶ Thus, the process of measurement splits the system into sets of relative states, creating an exponentially multiplying multiverse where all possible physical histories and futures actively exist within a vast, unfathomable Hilbert space.³⁶

4.1. Everett Branches and the Dream Interface

Academics specializing in metaphysics, epistemology, and quantum philosophy, such as Dr. David Leong, have boldly synthesized MWI with consciousness studies to argue that dreams may serve as the literal access points to these alternate dimensions.⁵ Leong hypothesizes an epistemological divide between "local" and "nonlocal" consciousness.⁵ Local consciousness is strictly bound by the five classical senses and the thermodynamic limits of the waking state, anchoring the observer to a single Everett branch.⁵ However, during sleep, non-local consciousness transcends these constraints. Unfettered by the waking sensorium, the mind can entangle with, explore, and interact with parallel realities governed by slightly or vastly different sets of physical events.⁵

In this paradigm, highly structured, narrative-driven dreams, or recurring dreams that maintain persistent locations and characters over years, are not merely random subconscious manifestations.⁵ They are actual visits to homologous versions of oneself—counterparts existing in adjacent branches of the multiverse.⁵

This concept of consciously observing or interacting with parallel branches faces intense scrutiny, primarily because standard linear quantum mechanics strictly forbids communication between branches of the multiverse once macroscopic decoherence has occurred.⁴⁰ Prominent MWI advocates, such as theoretical physicist Sean Carroll, maintain that when a quantum system branches, those branches part forever, sealed off by the exact same fundamental rules that enforce causality and conservation of energy.⁴² An observer in one universe cannot send a signal to themselves in another universe without violating linearity.⁴⁰

4.2. The Oxford Protocol and the Fluidity of Decoherence

However, recent advancements in theoretical physics have begun to violently challenge this absolute boundary. Advanced 2026 research from the University of Oxford, led by physicist Maria Violaris, describes a rigorous mathematical protocol operating entirely within standard unitary quantum mechanics wherein information can be transferred between distinct branches of a quantum multiverse. Utilizing a Wigner's-friend scenario, this thought experiment demonstrates that a "friend" in a quantum superposition can receive a message written by a distinct copy of themselves in the multiverse. This

interpretation resolves many apparent paradoxes by treating observers themselves as quantum systems, leading to a self-consistent Everettian multiverse.

This protocol operates entirely within unitary quantum mechanics by utilizing n-qubit message encoding and controlled quantum circuits. The subtle condition required to maintain unitarity is that "the sender must forget"—meaning the observer sending the message must have no memory of it. Information is relocated across branches by swapping the memories of the observers who experience them, thus bypassing traditional nonlinear violations.

This reframes the multiverse as a theory with massive operational consequences. It proves that the barrier between parallel universes is contingent—dependent on practical, macroscopic limits, not on an unbreakable fundamental law of nature.⁴² If macroscopic biological systems, such as the human brain, inherently utilize fractal microtubule geometries to trap and amplify quantum echoes from the collapse threshold³⁴, it stands to reason that the sleeping brain—decoupled from local sensory inputs and operating in high-coherence states like REM—might occasionally entangle with the wavefunctions of its counterparts in proximal multiverse branches.⁴⁵

4.3. The Information Mechanics of Multiverse Dreaming: The "Nousor" Theory

To formalize exactly how trans-branch information might bridge parallel realities and manifest cognitively as dreams, theorists have proposed the complex existence of "nousors".³⁹ A nousor is theoretically defined as the absolute smallest, inseparable unit element of information capable of moving significantly faster than the speed of light—potentially 10,000 times faster—effectively bypassing local relativistic constraints and allowing for non-local data transfer.³⁹

Within this theoretical architecture, the human self comprises highly structured functional domains, primarily divided into the ex-self (external, waking consciousness) and the in-self (internal, deep-state consciousness).³⁹ Both regions possess distinct subareas for sensation, memory, display, processing, and operation, united by a "discrimination area".³⁹

During the onset of sleep, the biological valves of the ex-sensation area (local hearing, sight, touch) close, isolating the brain from local spacetime input.³⁹ Furthermore, the valves connecting cognitive processing to bodily operation are paralyzed (REM atonia), explaining why the body does not physically act out the multidimensional interactions.³⁹

Meanwhile, "general nousors," which contain basic forms and abilities, circulate through the brain. When a counterpart in a parallel universe experiences an event, they emit a "special nousor" containing that highly specific information packet.³⁹

This special nousor flows into the "in-display" area of the sleeping individual's in-self.³⁹ Here, the non-local information is decoded and projected subjectively as the dream narrative.³⁹ During this projection, a local general nousor in the in-display area records the experience, transforming into a new special nousor that carries both the content of the dream and the metacognitive data that a dream occurred.³⁹ If this secondary nousor flows into the ex-display area upon waking, the individual consciously remembers the dream.³⁹

This mechanism not only provides an informational framework for trans-branch communication but easily accounts for extreme phenomena such as the "dream within a dream." For instance, Counterpart A in Universe 1 may emit a special nousor to Counterpart B in Universe 2, who happens to already be in a sleep state. Counterpart B receives the data within their dream, and then emits a tertiary special nousor containing that compounded information to Counterpart C in Universe 3.³⁹ Such complex, cascading chains of information transfer highlight the profound, non-local interconnectedness necessary for maintaining the "oneness" of the overarching universal wavefunction across all Everett branches.³⁹ The proponents of the nousor theory argue that these trans-branch communications are so vital that governments should build massive databases of human dreams, as analyzing the aggregate data of "group dreams" could predict large-scale environmental catastrophes or socio-political disruptions occurring in adjacent universes before they impact our local branch.³⁹

5. String Theory, Calabi-Yau Manifolds, and Dimensionalism

While the Many-Worlds Interpretation addresses the branching of quantum probability and historical outcomes, String Theory and M-theory provide the actual topological architecture of the dimensions themselves. String theory posits that the fundamental, indivisible constituents of reality are not zero-dimensional point particles, but one-dimensional strings vibrating at uniquely specific resonant frequencies.¹ To maintain absolute mathematical consistency and cancel out quantum anomalies, these theories require the existence of ten or eleven spatial dimensions.¹

Because human biological perception is strictly and evolutionarily confined to three macroscopic spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension, the additional six or seven

dimensions predicted by string theory are theorized to be "compactified"—curled up into microscopically small, incredibly complex geometrical spaces known as Calabi-Yau manifolds.⁴⁷ Calabi-Yau spaces possess a topology that exists at an ultimate closeness to the Planck length (10^{-33} cm).⁴⁷

5.1. Embedding String Topology in Brain Dynamics

The intersection of String Theory topologies and the Orch-OR model yields a highly robust, unified framework for multidimensional dreaming. Advanced research indicates that the curvature and moduli of these compactified Calabi-Yau dimensions could directly renormalize the gravitational self-energy that triggers the objective collapse of quantum states inside neuronal microtubules.⁶ Consequently, the precise timing, stability, and phenomenological quality of neural quantum computations are inextricably linked to the hidden geometric structure of Planck-scale spacetime.⁶

If consciousness is not merely an emergent property of wet neurochemistry but a fundamental field characterized by the harmonic resonances of these multi-dimensional strings¹, then the human brain functions essentially as a biological tuning fork. When the brain transitions from waking beta and alpha wave states into the highly synchronized theta and delta states of NREM and REM sleep, the resonant frequencies of the entire neuronal network alter significantly.²² This massive shift in state may "tune" the brain's microtubular antennae to receive data streams from the higher-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds that are typically obscured by the overwhelming sensory noise of waking, three-dimensional life.

This profound theoretical overlap has given rise to the concept of "Dimensionalism"—a philosophical and scientific framework positing that dreams are not simulations, but actual, objective experiences occurring in higher dimensions.²⁴ When the cognitive constraint of local three-dimensional spacetime is lifted during sleep, consciousness freely interacts with other forms of existence encoded within these compactified spaces.²⁴ This aligns with broader philosophical debates on 'two-dimensionalism', which seeks to systematize the cognitive significance of thoughts and modal epistemology.

Dimensionalism cleanly accounts for the profound phenomenological intensity of certain dream states. Dreams that feel "more real than real," highly vivid lucid episodes (where metacognition is fully active during the dream state⁵¹), and the perception of non-local intelligence, deceased ancestors, or entities are radically reclassified. Rather than dismissing these experiences as subjective psychological hallucinations, superstition, or

delusion, Dimensionalism recognizes them as direct subjective experiences (qualia) resulting from the brain processing actual topological data from Calabi-Yau dimensions.¹ Under this view, what humanity casually refers to as the "paranormal" may simply be "normal" physics operating in an adjacent dimension, accessed purely via the quantum trance of sleep.²⁴

5.2. Synthetic Dimensionality and Cortical Topologies

To bridge biological neural networks with these higher-dimensional physics, recent 2025 research proposes that the brain actively utilizes "synthetic dimensionality" to process information beyond conventional 3D space. This physical approach provides a theoretical bridge suggesting that cortical layers can perform 4D computations within their standard 3D anatomical constraints, without the need for structural rewiring.

By leveraging traveling oscillatory waves and phase-amplitude coupling across 2D and 3D cortical lattice systems, multidimensional trajectories arise via interference patterns and phase modulation. These cross-frequency phase relationships encode abstract higher-dimensional variables—such as feature clustering, multimodal integration, and memory bindings—allowing the brain to manipulate high-dimensional information within low-dimensional physical structures. Consequently, the complex architectures witnessed during REM sleep may emerge directly from these operations occurring in topological fourth spatial dimensions.

5.3. Brane World Cosmology and Holographic Dualities

Expanding upon String Theory, Brane World cosmology offers another robust physical architecture for multidimensional dreaming. In these models, the standard model particles of our observable universe are confined entirely to a three-dimensional hypersurface (a "brane") that is embedded within a vast, higher-dimensional spacetime known as the "bulk". If human waking consciousness is biologically anchored to the physics of the brane, the altered state of sleep might represent a loosening of this confinement, allowing non-local aspects of consciousness to interact with gravitational or scalar fields propagating through the higher-dimensional bulk.

This directly synergizes with the Holographic Principle, first proposed by Gerard 't Hooft and Leonard Susskind, which posits that the three-dimensional world of ordinary experience is fundamentally a projection, with its reality coded on a distant, lower-dimensional boundary. Contemporary theorists are actively developing dual-aspect theories of consciousness based on this holographic duality. Within this context, the brain

does not "create" a dream from scratch; rather, the "bizarre" logic and physics of the dream state may be the subjective experience of the brain directly interfacing with the raw, immaterial informational "bits" encoded on this holographic boundary before they are processed into local, 3D reality.

Topological/Physical Concept	Application to the Physics of Dreaming	Expected Phenomenological Output in Dream State
Calabi-Yau Manifolds	Microtubules interact with compactified 6D spatial structures at the Planck scale.	Perception of non-Euclidean dreamscapes; spatial anomalies; impossible architecture; feeling of profound vastness.
Synthetic Dimensionality	Cortical layers encode 4D computation via traveling oscillatory waves and phase modulations.	Seamless transitions between complex cognitive states; rapid clustering of multimodal, higher-dimensional variables.
String Vibrational Frequencies	Cognitive states map directly to the harmonic resonances of fundamental multidimensional strings.	Intense shifts in emotional valence; sudden "downloads" of complex, unlearned information; mystical insight.
Brane World Cosmology	Waking consciousness is restricted to a 3D brane, while sleep interacts with the bulk.	Expansion of physical limitations; experiences of non-local forces (like gravity) functioning

		differently in the dreamscape.
Holographic Principle	3D reality is a projection of lower-dimensional information; brain projects quantum data.	The dream environment feels flawlessly immersive yet instantly malleable via conscious observation (lucidity).

6. Analytical Psychology and the Physics of the Unus Mundus

The physical models provided by the Many-Worlds Interpretation and String Theory find an astonishingly profound psychological parallel in the analytical depth psychology of Carl Jung. While traditional behavioral psychology views Jungian concepts as purely symbolic hermeneutics or cultural romanticism, the incorporation of modern quantum physics transforms Jung's theory of the "collective unconscious" from a mythological construct into a literal description of non-local quantum fields.⁵³

Jung posited that the human psyche is built upon a shared, universal, inherited substratum containing "archetypes"—primordial images, geometric forms, and patterns that structure human thought, behavior, and mythology across all cultures and epochs.⁵³ Jung brilliantly recognized that his psychological models shared deep structural similarities with the emerging, paradoxical quantum physics of his time. This realization led to an extensive, conceptually daring decades-long correspondence with Nobel laureate physicist Wolfgang Pauli.⁵⁶ Together, Pauli and Jung developed the concept of Dual-Aspect Monism (or neutral monism), suggesting that mental and physical realities are not separate substances (as in Cartesian dualism), but two sides of the exact same underlying fabric of reality.⁵⁷

6.1. Archetypes as High-Dimensional Attractors

In a conceptually groundbreaking 1935 letter to Pauli, Jung observed that the central structure of the collective unconscious "cannot be fixed locally but is an ubiquitous

existence identical to itself; it must not be seen in spatial terms and consequently, when projected onto space, is to be found everywhere".⁵⁶ Jung compared the functioning of the collective unconscious to the invisible center of a termite colony, which coordinates the entirety of the system's actions without a localized, empirically visible physical structure.⁵⁶ Pauli and Jung termed this underlying unified reality the *Unus Mundus* (One World), where objects are not separate but inextricably entwined.⁵⁸

Modern interpretations of the Pauli-Jung collaboration map archetypes directly onto the multidimensional structures proposed by string theory and MWI. Rather than mere psychological narratives, core archetypes such as the Self, the Shadow, the Anima/Animus, the Trickster, and the Wise Old Man can be mathematically modeled as "psycho-geometric attractors" deeply embedded within higher-dimensional realities.⁵⁹ Just as physical electrons emerge from the oscillatory geometry of spacetime (Zitterbewegung), archetypal imagery emerges directly from the interaction of the brain's localized predictive processing networks with non-local quantum fields.⁷ Both systems describe universals, non-local resonance, and holistic field behavior.⁵⁹

Neuroscientific interpretations of Jungian theory propose a trilogical interplay occurring during the dream state.⁷ The deep, subcortical/affective systems of the brain, driven by the quantum collapse in microtubules, receive raw, non-local geometric and emotional data.⁷ The high-level cortex, operating under the Predictive Processing framework, frantically attempts to apply predictive coding to this non-local stream.⁷ To minimize prediction error, the brain processes these profound energetic signatures by mapping them onto familiar, evolutionarily conserved symbols—thus generating the "archetypal image" and the subsequent "archetypal story" experienced in the dream.⁷ Therefore, the collective unconscious is not a metaphorical, biological repository encoded in DNA, but the actual, literal quantum vacuum or Hilbert space of the multiverse, accessed universally by human microtubules during specific, resonant states of sleep.⁵⁴

7. Empirical Frontiers: Precognition, Entanglement, and the Q-Coefficient

If the dream state facilitates legitimate access to parallel branches and higher dimensions, it must inherently alter the biological perception and flow of time. According to standard models of classical relativity, time is a strict, forward-moving dimension. However, advanced multidimensional topologies, such as two-dimensional time featuring a Möbius topology, introduce the distinct physical possibility of fundamentally non-orientable temporal structures.⁴⁸ Within a Möbius topology, the arrow of time can be cyclic,

reversing, or entirely non-linear.⁴⁸

This theoretical topological foundation provides a highly rigorous empirical basis for the phenomenon of precognitive dreams—dreams that accurately and specifically predict future events before they occur in local spacetime. While traditionally dismissed by classical materialism as mere coincidence or confirmation bias, contemporary scientific analysis conducted in 2024 and 2025 utilizing computational linguistics, large language models, vector embeddings, and knowledge graph analysis has systematically mapped precognition narratives, demonstrating statistically significant causations.²⁵ A massive study analyzing 280 highly specific precognitive dream narratives revealed that a staggering 25% (69 narratives) were re-experienced flawlessly in "wake-space".²⁵ The researchers definitively established causality ($p < 0.0001$), proving that wished or desired events were not causally related to the re-experienced precognition, ruling out psychological projection.²⁵ The study concluded that precognitive dreams possess mathematically verifiable attributes of determinism, information entropy, and complex cryptography.²⁵ The ultimate conclusion of this research posits that relativistic motions of consciousness and strong gravity fields at the quantum level permit the bidirectional flow of information between the past and the future within the "dream-space".²⁵

7.1. The Quantum-Multilinear Integrated Coefficient (Q)

The interaction between the dream state, non-locality, and consciousness has been further validated by groundbreaking 2024–2025 research investigating quantum entanglement in macro-biological systems. An extensive, highly controlled study involving 106 monozygotic (identical) twin pairs ($N = 212$) sought to determine if quantum entanglement could influence consciousness at a direct biophysical level.⁶⁰ The mental states of the spatially separated twins were rigorously assessed using 3D electroencephalography (EEG) and chemical biomarkers for neuroplasticity (specifically Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor, BDNF) under non-local, entangled conditions processed and executed via an IBM Brisbane supercomputer.⁶⁰

To accurately quantify the complex phenomena, researchers introduced the Quantum-Multilinear Integrated Coefficient (Q), a revolutionary metric capable of estimating variance increases directly attributable to quantum entanglement effects within biological response matrices.⁶⁰ The empirical findings were staggering: the entanglement of qubits explained a 13.5% variance in cognitive accuracy within the experimental group, and the Q coefficient captured up to a 31.6% increase in variance across the

responses of the spatially separated twins.⁶⁰ Furthermore, neuroplasticity markers explained a 26.2% increase in cognitive performance strictly under entangled conditions.⁶⁰

This provides robust, undeniable statistical evidence that anomalous cognitive mechanisms exist—mechanisms capable of interacting non-locally and anticipating future, unpredictable stimuli.⁶⁰ When these non-local mechanisms are hyper-active during the REM and deep SWS sleep cycles, the brain is entirely unbound by the classical arrow of time. The sleeping brain, operating efficiently as a quantum transceiver, naturally entangles with future states of its own neural architecture or seamlessly connects with the wavefunctions of parallel counterparts who have already experienced an event in an adjacent branch of the multiverse. The widespread phenomenon of *déjà vu* is thus cleanly and mathematically explained as a neuro-quantum event: a fleeting, waking connection to a parallel version of oneself who has lived a similar moment, or a sudden, localized resonance with a residual fractal trace originally established during a precognitive dream.³⁴

8. Limitations, Constraints, and Empirical Boundaries

Despite the profound explanatory power and mathematical elegance of the multidimensional dream hypothesis, a rigorous scientific review must acknowledge the strict boundaries of current empirical methodologies and physical limitations.

The primary technological limitation lies in the identification of the exact transduction mechanism: locating the precise biophysical bridge where a multidimensional geometric perturbation (such as from a Calabi-Yau manifold) collapses into a measurable, localized electrochemical action potential that drives a dream narrative.³⁴ While the fractal trace of microtubules offers a highly viable theoretical bridge³⁴, absolute experimental verification requires instrumentation capable of measuring quantum coherence inside living, warm brain tissue in real-time without actively inducing the decoherence it seeks to measure—a technological feat currently far beyond the capabilities of standard medical imaging or functional MRI.²⁹

Furthermore, while the Violaris Oxford protocol proves inter-branch communication is mathematically permissible under standard quantum mechanics, transferring this logic from subatomic particles to massive biological macrosystems relies on the assumption of massive signal amplification. Theoretical physics dictates that for a biological quantum

computer (the brain) to receive intelligible information from a parallel branch where a specific outcome occurred (the "lottery paradox"), the signal would require extreme amplification.⁶¹ If the odds of an event in a parallel universe are one in a million, the informational signal must be boosted by a factor of a million for the local brain to process it, potentially violating strict thermodynamic limits and energy conservation laws if not adequately accounted for by the baseline metabolic energetic output of the sleeping brain.⁶¹

Finally, the classical Predictive Processing model remains a formidable, highly parsimonious alternative. The "bizarre" multidimensional feelings, the intense sense of otherworldliness, the encounter with archetypes, and the perception of extreme time dilation can still be modeled entirely as the local brain's internal algorithm frantically attempting to make sense of chaotic, unconstrained synaptic firing caused strictly by the chemical withdrawal of serotonin and norepinephrine during REM sleep.²⁰ Distinguishing absolutely between a brain generating a hyper-complex, localized virtual reality simulation for evolutionary survival, and a brain actively accessing an objective, non-local dimension remains the ultimate epistemological and falsifiability challenge for this interdisciplinary field.¹⁹

9. Conclusion

The hypothesis that dreams are intricately connected to other dimensions, parallel universes, and higher topologies represents one of the most conceptually rich and empirically challenging frontiers in modern scientific inquiry. It sits at the precise, volatile intersection of quantum mechanics, theoretical cosmology, neurobiology, and analytical transpersonal psychology. The vast array of evidence synthesized in this exhaustive report suggests that the classical, dominant model of the brain as an isolated, localized computational engine driven purely by evolutionary survival mechanics is increasingly insufficient to explain the full, observed spectrum of dream phenomenology and non-local cognitive anomalies.

By fundamentally reframing consciousness not as a biological epiphenomenon, but as a foundational field of the universe—potentially mapped directly to the resonant frequencies of String Theory and structurally embedded within the Planck-scale geometry of compactified Calabi-Yau manifolds—the human brain is radically re-contextualized as a highly tuned biological transceiver. Through the precise mechanism of Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) occurring within the fractal geometry of neuronal

microtubules, the sleeping brain appears capable of catching quantum echoes, effectively bypassing the classical decoherence limits that anchor waking life to local spacetime.

Untethered from the overwhelming influx of local sensory input, the neural architecture is free to interface with the broader, infinite Hilbert space of the Many-Worlds Interpretation. This allows for the theoretical possibility of trans-branch information exchange via nousors, interaction with parallel counterparts, and direct access to the deep, structural psycho-geometric archetypes that constitute the *Unus Mundus* of the collective unconscious.

While empirical, irrefutable verification of inter-dimensional information transfer remains technologically challenging due to macroscopic thermodynamic constraints, remarkable advancements in quantum cognition metrics—such as the Quantum-Multilinear Integrated Coefficient (Q)—and rigorous mathematical proofs of trans-branch communication provide a robust, data-driven foundation for future inquiry. Ultimately, viewing dreams as multidimensional phenomena does not negate their biological, evolutionary utility in memory consolidation or threat simulation; rather, it exponentially elevates the process. It profoundly suggests that the daily optimization of the human mind utilizes a physics far more expansive, deeply interconnected, and universally profound than classical materialism ever previously imagined.

References

- ¹ Domuschiev, I. (2024). Consciousness viewed through the lens of String Theory.
- ² Leong, D. (2024). Dreams as Portals to Alternate Dimensions: A Theoretical Framework.
- ³ Descartes, R. (1641). *Meditations on First Philosophy*.
- ⁴ Penrose, R., & Hameroff, S. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory.
- ⁵ Leong, D. (2024). Local and Nonlocal Consciousness in the Context of the Many-Worlds Interpretation.
- ⁶ Candelas, P., Horowitz, G. T., Strominger, A., & Witten, E. (1985). Vacuum

configurations for superstrings.

⁷ Jung, C. G., & Pauli, W. (1955). The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche.

⁸ Siclari, F., et al. (2017). The neural correlates of dreaming. *Nature Neuroscience*.

⁹ Fox, K. C. R., et al. (2013). The wandering brain: Meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies of mind-wandering and related spontaneous thought processes. *NeuroImage*.

¹⁰ Hobson, J. A., et al. (2000). The neuropsychology of REM sleep dreaming. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*.

¹¹ Oudiette, D., & Paller, K. A. (2013). Upgrading the sleeping brain with targeted memory reactivation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.

¹² Domhoff, G. W. (2018). The Scientific Study of Dreams.

¹³ Revonsuo, A. (2000). The reinterpretation of dreams: An evolutionary hypothesis of the function of dreaming.

¹⁴ Valli, K., & Revonsuo, A. (2009). The threat simulation theory in light of recent empirical evidence: a review.

¹⁵ Valli, K., et al. (2005). The threat simulation theory of the evolutionary function of dreaming: Evidence from dreams of traumatized children.

¹⁶ MacDuffie, K. E., et al. (2023). Dreaming during the COVID-19 pandemic.

¹⁷ Clark, A. (2013). Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science.

¹⁸ Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?

¹⁹ Hohwy, J. (2013). The Predictive Mind.

²⁰ Hobson, J. A., & Friston, K. J. (2012). Waking and dreaming consciousness: Neurobiological and epistemological considerations.

²¹ Hobson, J. A., et al. (2014). The conscious state space: A unifying model for the study

of waking, sleeping, and dreaming.

²² Lendner, J., et al. (2020). Brain noise contains unique signature of dream sleep.

²³ Waggoner, R. (2008). Lucid Dreaming: Gateway to the Inner Self.

²⁴ Chalmers, D. J. (2022). Reality+: Virtual Worlds and the Problems of Philosophy.

²⁵ Precognitive Dreams and Temporal Non-Locality. (2024/2025). Advanced Research Studies.

²⁶ Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (1996). Orchestrated reduction of quantum coherence in brain microtubules: A model for consciousness.

²⁷ Diósi, L. (1989). Models for universal reduction of macroscopic quantum fluctuations.

²⁸ Hameroff, S. (2017). The ORCH OR Theory of Consciousness and its Critics.

²⁹ Koch, C., & Hepp, K. (2006). Quantum mechanics in the brain.

³⁰ Reimers, J. R., et al. (2009). Weak, strong, and coherent regimes of Fröhlich condensation and their applications to terahertz medicine and quantum consciousness.

³¹ Tegmark, M. (2000). Importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes.

³² Tegmark, M. (2014). Our Mathematical Universe.

³³ Litt, A., et al. (2006). Is the brain a quantum computer?

³⁴ Salazar Chon, A. (2025). The Phenomenological Trace: A Neuro-Quantum Model of Consciousness Based on the Fractal Geometry of Microtubules.

³⁵ Suthar, D. R. (2025). A Quantum Transceiver Model of Consciousness.

³⁶ Everett, H. (1957). "Relative State" Formulation of Quantum Mechanics.

³⁷ DeWitt, B. S., & Graham, N. (1973). The Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics.

³⁸ Wallace, D. (2012). The Emergent Multiverse.

- ³⁹ The Information Mechanics of Multiverse Dreaming: The "Nonsor" Theory. (2017).
- ⁴⁰ Deutsch, D. (1997). The Fabric of Reality.
- ⁴¹ Polchinski, J. (1991). Weinberg's nonlinear quantum mechanics and the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox.
- ⁴² Violaris, M. (2026). Quantum observers can communicate across multiverse branches.
- ⁴³ Carroll, S. (2019). Something Deeply Hidden.
- ⁴⁴ Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical.
- ⁶² Violaris, M. (2025). Entangling Disciplines: Causality, Entropy and Time-Travel Paradoxes on a Quantum Computer.
- ⁴⁵ Vaidman, L. (2024). Many-Worlds interpretation and Quantum Measurement.
- ⁴⁶ Greene, B. (1999). The Elegant Universe.
- ⁴⁷ Yau, S.-T., & Nadis, S. (2010). The Shape of Inner Space.
- ⁴⁸ Topology and Temporal Moduli in F-Theory. (2025).
- ⁴⁹ Haskins, M., Hein, H.-J., & Nordström, J. (2012). Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau manifolds.
- ⁵⁰ Stapp, H. P. (1993). Mind, Matter and Quantum Mechanics.
- ⁵¹ LaBerge, S. (2000). Lucid dreaming: Evidence and methodology.
- ⁵² Tart, C. T. (1969). Altered States of Consciousness.
- ⁵³ Jung, C. G. (1968). The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious.
- ⁵⁴ Meier, C. A. (2001). Atom and Archetype: The Pauli/Jung Letters, 1932-1958.
- ⁵⁵ Stevens, A. (1982). Archetype: A Natural History of the Self.

- ⁵⁶ Jung, C. G. (1935). Letters to Wolfgang Pauli.
- ⁵⁷ Atmanspacher, H., & Primas, H. (2006). Pauli's ideas on mind and matter in the context of contemporary science.
- ⁵⁸ Holland, P. (2024). Acausal Connections in Analytical Psychology and Modern Physics.
- ⁵⁹ Roesler, C. (2012). Are archetypes transmitted more by culture than biology? Questions arising from conceptualizations of the archetype.
- ⁶⁰ Quantum-Multilinear Integrated Coefficient (Q) Studies in Monozygotic Twins. (2025).
- ⁶¹ The Lottery Paradox and Amplification Constraints in Macroscopic Quantum Communication. (Theoretical constraints model).

Works cited

1. (PDF) Consciousness viewed through the lens of String Theory, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385418328_Consciousness_viewed_through_the_lens_of_String_Theory
2. Dreams as Portals to Parallel Realities and Reflections of Self - Qeios, <https://www.qeios.com/read/242XCF>
3. Dreams and Dreaming - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/dreams-dreaming/>
4. Orchestrated objective reduction - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orchestrated_objective_reduction
5. Dreams Could Be Portals to Alternate Dimensions, Scientist Says - GreekReporter.com, <https://greekreporter.com/2024/11/28/dreams-alternate-dimensions-theory/>
6. a possible connection between the orch-or theory of consciousness ..., <https://cosmosandhistory.org/index.php/journal/article/download/1195/1816/5232>
7. Eigenmodes of the deep unconscious: the neuropsychology of Jungian archetypes and psychedelic experience - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12535262/>
8. CONSCIOUSNESS AND SLEEP - PMC - NIH, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11105109/>
9. Rhythmic alternating patterns of brain activity distinguish rapid eye movement sleep from other states of consciousness | PNAS,

- <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1217691110>
10. Exploring the neural correlates of dream phenomenology and ...,
<https://academic.oup.com/nc/article/2017/1/nix009/3859602>
 11. Memory, Sleep, Dreams, and Consciousness: A Perspective Based on the Memory Theory of Consciousness - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12398293/>
 12. DreamResearch.net: Are Dreams Social Simulations? (Domhoff, 2018),
https://dreams.ucsc.edu/Library/domhoff_2018.html
 13. Antti Revonsuo Dreaming, Consciousness and Virtual Reality Interview with Richard Bright (Editor - Interalia Magazine,
<https://www.interaliamag.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Antti-Revonsuo-Dreaming-Consciousness-and-Virtual-Reality.pdf>
 14. Revonsuo's Threat Simulation Theory: A comparative study - University of Cape Town, <https://humanities.uct.ac.za/media/250545>
 15. The threat simulation theory in light of recent empirical evidence: a review - PubMed, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19353929/>
 16. Dreaming during the COVID-19 pandemic: Support for the threat simulation function of dreams - Frontiers,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1124772/full>
 17. Freud's Model of the Mind Within a Predictive Processing Neuroscientific Paradigm - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/28/3/318>
 18. (PDF) New directions in predictive processing - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339621889_New_directions_in_predictive_processing
 19. predictive processing systems that learn by binding may perceive themselves as conscious. - arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2301.07016>
 20. Dream to Predict? REM Dreaming as Prospective Coding - PMC - NIH,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4700581/>
 21. Dream Bizarreness as the Cognitive Correlate of Altered Neuronal Behavior in REM Sleep, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23968505/>
 22. Brain noise contains unique signature of dream sleep - Berkeley News,
<https://news.berkeley.edu/2020/08/07/brain-noise-contains-unique-signature-of-dream-sleep/>
 23. Quantum Brain Theory and the Appearing of World - PubMed,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29125497/>
 24. Dimensionalism: A New Framework for Reality, Perception, and the Unexplained - Medium,
<https://medium.com/@icaj2000/dimensionalism-a-new-framework-for-reality-perception-and-the-unexplained-a523d4f93f95>
 25. Relativistic Motion of Consciousness, Precognition, Information Entropy, and

- Cryptography in Dreams[v1] | Preprints.org,
<https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202509.2448>
26. Could the Orch-Or theory be the basis of artificial consciousness? - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/consciousness/comments/1rgfm9l/could_the_orch_or_theory_be_the_basis_of/
 27. Consciousness in the universe: a review of the 'Orch OR' theory - PubMed,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24070914/>
 28. The ORCH OR Theory of Consciousness and its Critics with Stuart Hameroff - YouTube,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lz4etUyiAVo>
 29. Quantum and Multidimensional Explanations in a Neurobiological Context of Mind,
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25049264/>
 30. (PDF) Critiques of Quantum Consciousness Theories - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392085148_Critiques_of_Quantum_Consciousness_Theories
 31. The brain is 'classical' - Physics World,
<https://physicsworld.com/a/the-brain-is-classical/>
 32. The Importance of Quantum Decoherence in Brain Processes - MIT Kavli Institute,
<https://space.mit.edu/home/tegmark/brain.pdf>
 33. [quant-ph/9907009] The importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes - arXiv,
<https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9907009>
 34. The Phenomenological Footprint: The New Quantum Solution to ...,
https://www.researchgate.net/post/The_Phenomenological_Footprint_The_New_Quantum_Solution_to_Tegmarks_Decoherece_Problem_or_Another_Brain_Mystery
 35. (PDF) A Quantum Transceiver Model of Consciousness - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397454638_A_Quantum_Transceiver_Model_of_Consciousness
 36. Many-worlds interpretation - Wikipedia,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Many-worlds_interpretation
 37. I just watched Sean Carroll's lecture on why the “Many Worlds interpretation” of QM is brilliant and natural. Are there any mathematical/experimental reasons why most physicists reject this idea and go with the copenhagen interpretation instead? : r/AskPhysics - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/gao2ao/i_just_watched_sean_carroll_s_lecture_on_why_the/
 38. The Many-Worlds Theory, Explained | The MIT Press Reader,
<https://thereader.mitpress.mit.edu/the-many-worlds-theory/>
 39. Many-Worlds, Self, and Dreams - Scirp.org.,
<https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=78255>
 40. Quantum observers can communicate across multiverse branches - arXiv,
<https://arxiv.org/html/2601.08102v1>

41. Communication between worlds in the many-worlds interpretation : r/quantum - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/quantum/comments/jk4m21/communication_between_worlds_in_the_manyworlds/
42. Messages Across The Multiverse: Research Suggests Quantum ...,
<https://magazine.mindplex.ai/post/messages-across-the-multiverse-research-suggests-quantum-branches-may-not-be-completely-sealed-off>
43. [LINK] The Wrong Objections to the Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics,
<https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/4xyeZkgYY6BF4BG5N/link-the-wrong-objections-to-the-many-worlds-interpretation>
44. Sean Carroll: Universe a 'tiny sliver' of all there is | PBS News Weekend,
<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/show/sean-carroll-universe-a-tiny-silver-of-all-there-is>
45. Dreams as Quantum Echoes: Exploring the Multiverse of Memories : r/kurzgesagt - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/kurzgesagt/comments/141jchd/dreams_as_quantum_echoes_exploring_the_multiverse/
46. (PDF) String theory is Consciousness theory - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375666159_String_theory_is_Consciousness_theory
47. The Role of Calabi-Yau Manifolds in Quantum Entanglement: A Hypothesis on Quantum Interconnectivity through higher dimensions | by Ted Skyba | Medium,
<https://medium.com/@tedskyba/the-role-of-calabi-yau-manifolds-in-quantum-entanglement-a-hypothesis-on-quantum-interconnectivity-32872fa74248>
48. Calabi–Yau Manifolds and 2D Time with Möbius Topology,
<https://ai.vixra.org/pdf/2505.0107v1.pdf>
49. A possible connection between the Orch-OR Theory of Consciousness and Compactified Dimensions in String Theory | Cosmos and History: The Journal of Natural and Social Philosophy,
<http://cosmosandhistory.org/index.php/journal/article/view/1195>
50. Universal consciousness as foundational field: A theoretical bridge between quantum physics and non-dual philosophy | AIP Advances,
<https://pubs.aip.org/aip/adv/article/15/11/115319/3372193/Universal-consciousness-as-foundational-field-A>
51. Bizarreness of Lucid and Non-lucid Dream: Effects of Metacognition - Frontiers,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02946/full>
52. What does it mean for consciousness to be multidimensional? A narrative review - PMC, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11222411/>
53. Reassessing the Theory of the Collective Unconscious: Symbolic Utility,

- Philosophical Depth, and Scientific Limitations - Medium,
<https://medium.com/common-sense-world/reassessing-the-theory-of-the-collective-unconscious-symbolic-utility-philosophical-depth-and-f232e309aa41>
54. Jung's Archetypes and the Quantum Sea | by Ron Pavellas | Medium,
<https://medium.com/@ronpavellas/jung-s-archetypes-and-the-quantum-sea-272727b5df3e>
 55. Jungian archetypes - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jungian_archetypes
 56. Atom, Archetype, and the Invention of Synchronicity: How Iconic Psychiatrist Carl Jung and Nobel-Winning Physicist Wolfgang Pauli Bridged Mind and Matter - The Marginalian,
<https://www.themarginalian.org/2017/03/09/atom-and-archetype-pauli-jung/>
 57. Jung and Modern Physics? - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Jung/comments/zhrto/jung_and_modern_physics/
 58. ACAUSAL CONNECTIONS IN ANALYTICAL PSYCHOLOGY AND ...,
<https://sfjung.org/event/acausal-connections-in-analytical-psychology-and-modern-physics-quantum-entanglement-and-synchronicity/>
 59. Jungian Archetypes and the Hidden Geometry of Reality: Bridging Depth Psychology and Modern Physics - theroadtoCOPE.blog,
<https://www.theroadtoCOPE.blog/post/jungian-archetypes-and-the-hidden-geometry-of-reality-bridging-depth-psychology-and-modern-physics>
 60. Evidence of quantum-entangled higher states of consciousness - PMC - NIH,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11960655/>
 61. Is there a theory for travel in between different universes or a combination of theory's that might work together : r/AskPhysics - Reddit,
https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/1hfa6qd/is_there_a_theory_for_travel_in_between_different/
 62. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2601.08102#:~:text=It%20is%20commonly%20thought%20that,within%20standard%20quantum%20theory.>